



patent law group LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 01 2005

2635 North First St. Suite 223
San Jose, CA 95134
Tel: 408.382.0480
Fax: 408.382.0481

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Date:	November 1, 2005	Fax:	571-273-8300
To:	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Phone:	

**Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

From:	Brian D. Ogonowsky
Serial No.:	10/666,688
Docket:	MIC-M084
Re:	Response to Final Office Action
Pages:	8 (including cover sheet)

Message:

Re: Applicant(s): Thomas S. Wong, Benjamin Chan, Phi Thai
 Assignee: Micrel, Inc.
 Title: Reducing Transient Current Caused By Capacitance During
 High Speed Switching
 Serial No.: 10/666,688
 Examiner: Kenneth B. Wells Filed: September 18, 2003
 Docket No.: MIC-M084 Group Art Unit: 2816

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents in the above-identified application:

- (1) Transmittal Letter (1 page);
- (2) Response to Final Office Action (6 pages).

The information contained in this message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and may be protected by the work product doctrine. As such, this document is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and destroy any copies of this document in your possession. Thank you.



patent law group LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 01 2005

Brian D. Ogonowsky
Carmen C. Cook
David C. Hsia
Rachel V. Leiterman

November 1, 2005

Via Facsimile
Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Applicant(s): Thomas S. Wong, Benjamin Chan, Phi Thai
 Assignee: Micrel, Inc.
 Title: Reducing Transient Current Caused By Capacitance During High
 Speed Switching
 Serial No.: 10/666,688
 Examiner: Kenneth B. Wells Filed: September 18, 2003
 Docket No.: MIC-M084 Group Art Unit: 2816

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents in the above-identified application:

- (1) This Transmittal Letter (1 page);
- (2) Response to Final Office Action (6 pages).

- No additional fee is required.
 The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	Claims Remaining <u>After Amendment</u>		Highest No. <u>Previously Paid For</u>		Present <u>Extra</u>	Rate	Additional <u>Fee</u>
Total Claims	5	Minus	20	=	0	x \$50	\$ 0.00
Independent Claims	3	Minus	3	=	0	x \$200	\$ 0.00

- Fee of _____ for the first filing of one or more multiple dependent claims per application
- Conditional Petition for Extension of Time: If an extension of time is required for timely filing of the enclosed document(s) after all papers filed with this transmittal have been considered, an extension of time is hereby requested.
- Please charge our Deposit Account No. 502226 in the amount of 0.00
- Also, charge any additional fees required and credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 502226.

Total: \$ 0.00

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission	
I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.	
Signature	11/1/05 Date

Respectfully submitted,



Brian D. Ogonowsky
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 31,988

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 01 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Thomas S. Wong et al.
Assignee: Micrel, Inc.
Title: Reducing Transient Current Caused By Capacitance During High Speed Switching
Serial No.: 10/666,688 Filing Date: September 18, 2003
Examiner: Kenneth B. Wells Group Art Unit: 2816
Docket No.: MIC-M084

San Jose, California
November 1, 2005

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

This responds to the final Office Action mailed August 5, 2005.

The claims are amended herein to insert the limitations of the "objected to" Claims 5 and 13 into the independent Claims 1 and 9. Arguments are provided to explain why the remaining independent Claim 15 is allowable over the cited art. Amendments to the claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 5 of this paper.